UNLIMITED JURISDICTION SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

WILLIAM TAYLOR,

Plaintiff,
vs.

CITY OF BURBANK and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Plaintiff,
Vs.

[Assigned to the Hon. John L. Segal, Judge, Dept. "50"]

(PROPOSED) JUDGMENT ON GENERAL VERDICT

Action Filed: September 22, 2009
Trial: March 5, 2012

This action came on regularly for trial on March 5, 2012, in Department "50" of the Los Angeles County Superior Court, the Honorable John L. Segal, Judge presiding. Plaintiff William Taylor was personally present and appeared through his attorneys, Gregory W. Smith and Christopher Brizzolara. Defendant City of Burbank appeared through its attorneys, Ronald F. Frank of Burke Williams & Sorenson LLP and Linda Miller Savitt of Ballard, Rosenberg, Golper & Savitt.

A jury of twelve persons and two alternates was regularly impaneled and sworn.

Witnesses were sworn and testified, and exhibits were identified and received into

(PROPOSED) JUDGMENT ON GENERAL VERDICT

1	evidence.					
2	After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the jury was duly instructed					
3	by the court and the case was submitted to the jury with directions to return verdict on the					
4	general verdict which was submitted to the jury. The jury deliberated and thereafter					
5	returned into court on March 19, 2012 with its verdict, which said verdict was in words and					
6 7	figures as follows, to wit:					
8						
9						
10						
11	X We find in favor of William Taylor and against City of Burbank and award					
12						
13	economic damages amount of \$ <u>1,048,579.00</u>					
14 15	non-economic damages amount of \$250,000.00					
16						
17						
18	Signed: <u>La</u> ura Groen					
19	Presiding Juror					
20	Dated: 3/19/2012					
21						
22	After this verdict form has been signed, notify the court attendant that you are					
23	ready to present your verdict in the courtroom."					
24 25						
26						
27						
28						
	-2-					
	(PROPOSED) JUDGMENT ON GENERAL VERDICT					

1	It appearing by reason of said general verdict that Plaintiff William Taylor is entitle					
2	to judgment against Defendant City of Burbank.					
3	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff					
4						
5	the amount of \$1,298,579.00 with interest thereon at the rate of seven (7%) percent per					
6	appum from the date of the verdict until poid					
7 8						
9	,					
10	, o compared to the parameter of the compared to the compared					
11	12940:					
12		1.7				
13		*:				
14						
15						
16	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff William					
17	Taylor is awarded costs in the amount of: \$ and attorneys'					
17	Taylor is awarded costs in the amount of: \$	and attorneys'				
18	Taylor is awarded costs in the amount of: \$ fees in the amount of \$	and attorneys' 				
		and attorneys' 				
18 19 20		and attorneys' 				
18 19 20 21	fees in the amount of \$					
18 19 20 21 22	fees in the amount of \$					
18 19 20 21 22 23	fees in the amount of \$					
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	fees in the amount of \$					
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	fees in the amount of \$					
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	fees in the amount of \$					
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	fees in the amount of \$					
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	fees in the amount of \$					

1			PROOF OF SERVICE	*		
2	STAT	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)				
3	COU	NTY OF LOS ANGELES)			
456	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within action; my business address is 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 345E, Beverly Hills, California 90212.					
7 8	On the date hereinbelow specified, I served the foregoing document, described as set forth below on the interested parties in this action by placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes, at Beverly Hills, addressed as follows:					
9	DATE	OF SERVICE :	March 21, 2012			
10	DOC	JMENT SERVED :	(PROPOSED) JUDGMENT ON GENERAL \	/ERDICT		
11 12	PARTIES SERVED : SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST.					
13 14 15 16 17	<u>xxx</u>	to be placed in the Unite familiar" with firm's pract mailing. It is deposited victories of business. I ampresumed invalid if postaday after date of deposit (BY ELECTRONIC MAI	L) I caused such document to be electronical	readily e for ordinary is re than one by mailed to		
18 19 20	XXX	Christopher Brizzola samorai@adelphia.net. (STATE) I declare under that the above is true an	r penalty of perjury under the laws of the State o	address: of California		
21 22	(FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.					
23		EXECUTED at Beverly I	Hills, California on March 21, 2012.			
24			Selma I. Francia			
25	Seima I. Francia					
26						
27				ri þ.		
28			-4-	(.a 201		
		(PROPOS	ED) JUDGMENT ON GENERAL VERDICT			

CE LIST

1	SERVICE LIST
2	WILLIAM TAYLOR v. CITY OF BURBANK LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. BC 422 252
3	
4	Christopher Brizzolara, Esq.
5	1528 16 th Street Santa Monica, California 90404
6	(By Electronic Mail Only)
7	
8	Ronald F. Frank, Esq. Robert J. Tyson, Esq.
Burke Williams & Sorenson LLP	
10	Los Angeles, California 90071-2953
11	Amelia Ann Albano, City Attorney
12	Carol A. Humiston, Sr. Asst. City Atty. Office of the City Attorney
13	City of Burbank 275 East Olive Avenue
14	Post Office Box 6459 Burbank, California 91510
15	Burbank, Jamornia 91010
16	Linda Miller Savitt, Esq.
17	Philip L. Reznik, Esq. Ballard Rosenberg Golper & Savitt LLP
18	500 North Brand Boulevard, 20 th Floor Glendale, California 91203-9946
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26

27

28